

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISCIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 130 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspid.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,806		04/20/2001	Marc Schneiderman	8738/1	3517
24978	7590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
GREER, E		CRAIN	SHAH, NILESH R		
300 S WAC 25TH FLO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO	, IL 6060)6	2195		
				DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/838,806	SCHNEIDERMAN, MARC				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nilesh Shah	2195				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is inso of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21,25,26,28-34 and 38-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21,25,26,28-34 and 38-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	• •	»□					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21,25,26,28-34 and 38-52 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21,25,26,28-34 and 38-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obana (US 2001/0016911) view of Jagannathan et al (6,496,871) (hereinafter Jagannathan)
- 4. As per claim 1, Obana teaches a method for providing parallel execution of computing tasks in a heterogeneous computing environment having a plurality of computing hosts comprising: partitioning a computing task into small tasks (abstract; 0058; 0093).
- 5. Obana does not specifically teach the use of mobile agents.
 - Jagannathan teaches assigning the small tasks to mobile agents determining available computing hosts in the heterogeneous computing environment automatically transferring said mobile agents to determined available computing hosts responsive to a detected indication that migration needs to be initiated (col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19; col. 24 lines 1-40);

monitoring the computing hosts for detecting an indication that migration needs to be initiated (col. 15 lines 25-50; col. 16 lines 50-64)

Application/Control Number: 09/838,806

Art Unit: 2195

executing the mobile agents at the available computing hosts using execution code provided to the mobile agent from a central serve (col. 6 lines 61-67; col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12); and maintaining, at a second computer host at which none of said mobile agents are executing, stack trace and state information about each of said mobile agents to allow one or more of said mobile agents to be reconstructed in an alternate computer host using said stack trace and state information (col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 15 lines 35-60; col. 24 lines 22-64).

Page 3

- 6. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Jagannathan and Obana because Jagannathan's system of including mobile agents to distribute tasks through mobile agents would improve Obana's system by enhancing network mobility and communication.
- 7. As per claim 2, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein said indication comprises an indication of network latency (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 8. As per claim 3, Jagannathan teaches a method further comprising transferring execution code from a central server to virtual machines at the available computing hosts (60, fig 5; col. 12 lines 40 -63).

Art Unit: 2195

9. As per claim 4, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein the step of executing the mobile agents is performed in virtual machines at the computing hosts without modification of the virtual machines (col. 6 lines 61-67; col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12).

- 10. As per claim 5, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein one of the small tasks is assigned to one of the mobile agents (col. 24 lines 25-37; col. 26 lines 27-44).
- 11. As per claim 6, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein the step of transferring execution code is performed in response to a request by a mobile agent (col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12).
- 12. As per claim 7, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein the step of transferring execution code is performed by a web server (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 13. As per claim 8, Jagannathan teaches a method further comprising monitoring execution of the mobile agents at the available computing hosts (col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).
- 14. As per claim 9, Jagannathan teaches a method further comprising allowing the mobile agents to collaborate over the heterogeneous computing environment as the mobile agents execute at the available computing hosts (col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).

Art Unit: 2195

- 15. As per claim 10, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein the mobile agents are executed in real time (col. 9 lines 32-41).
- 16. Claim 11 is rejected based on the same rejection for claim 1 above. In addition

 Jagannathan teaches storing stack trace and real-time state information about one or more the mobile agents at a first alternate computing host on which none of the one or more mobile agents are executing to allow the one or more mobile agents to be reconstructed at a second alternate computing host (col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).
- 17. As per claim 12, Jagannathan teaches a method further comprising, prior to transferring the mobile agents, the steps of:
 halting transferring of the mobile agents if network latency exceeds a threshold (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 18. As per claim 13, Jagannathan teaches a method further comprising, prior to transferring the mobile agents, if utilization of computing hosts fails to exceeds a predetermined threshold (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 19. As per claim 14, Obana method for providing parallel computing comprising: breaking a computer task into small tasks(abstract; 0058; 0093).

Application/Control Number: 09/838,806

Jagannathan teaches constructing an application using a plurality of mobile agents (col. 9

lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64);

transferring the plurality of mobile agents to a first computing host (col. 2 lines 59-67;

col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19);

executing the plurality of mobile agents at the first computing host (col. 6 lines 61-67;

col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12);

maintaining, stack trace and state information about each of the mobile agents at a second

computing host on which none of the plurality of mobile agents are executing (col. 1

lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).

migrating an application in a virtual machine from a primary host to a secondary host

(col. 12 lines 27-39); and

detecting an indication to migrate the application, wherein said indication comprises one

of the group consisting of network latency, hostile attack, hacking, network failure or

computer hardware failure (col. 23 lines 25-57) in response to a detected indication,

automatically migrating the application from primary host to the secondary host without

modifying a virtual machine (col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col.

17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).

20. As per claim 15, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein said step of determining

available computing hosts is performed in real time (col. 23 lines 25-57; col. 17 lines 1-

14; col. 24 lines 22-64).

- 21. As per claim 16, Jagannathan teaches a method the indication comprises an indication of hostile attack (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 22. As per claim 17, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein the hostile attack comprises hacking (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 23. As per claim 18, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein the indication comprises an indication of network failure (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 24. As per claim 19, Jagannathan teaches a method the indication comprises an indication of computer hardware failure (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 25. As per claim 20, Jagannathan teaches a method further comprising resuming execution of the mobile agent at the secondary host where execution was halted (col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).
- 26. As per claim 21, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein information about an executing thread of the mobile agent is existed at the first host prior to being transferred to the third computing host (col. 6 lines 61-67; col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12).
- 27. As per claim 25, Jagannathan teaches a method wherein further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/838,806

Art Unit: 2195

continuing monitoring for another indication to migrate the application(col. 3 lines 30-42,

Page 8

col. 13 lines 44-56);

continuing migrating the application to other hosts(col. 12 lines 27-39).

28. Claim 26 is rejected based on the same rejection for claim 11 above. In addition

Jagannathan teaches means for transferring execution code from a central server to the

computing resources, the computing resources receiving and executing one of the small

tasks assigned to a mobile agent in the virtual machines using the execution code and the

means for transferring execution code maintaining stack trace and state information about

each of the mobile agents at a first alternate computing host where none of the mobile

agents are executing to allow each of the mobile agents to be reconstructed at a second

alternate computing host (col. 6 lines 61-67; col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12).

- 29. As per claim 28, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus wherein the central server comprises a web server (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 30. As per claim 29, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus further comprising means for monitoring execution of the small tasks (col. 24 lines 40-60).
- 31. As per claim 30, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus further comprising collaboration means for allowing the mobile agents to communicate and share information in real time (col. 9 lines 32-41).

Art Unit: 2195

- 32. As per claim 31, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus wherein the mobile agents execute in real time (col. 23 lines 25-57; col. 9 lines 32-41).
- 33. As per claim 32, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus further comprising storage means for storing real time state information about the mobile agents as the mobile agents execute at the computing resources (col. 9 lines 32-41; col. 6 lines 61-67; col. 10 lines 47-64; col. 9 lines 1-12).
- 34. As per claim 33, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus further comprising:

 means for monitoring execution of the mobile agents at the computing hosts (col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19); and

 means for detecting over-utilization of one of the computing hosts and for issuing a warning when one of the computing hosts is over-utilized (col. 23 lines 25-57).
- 35. Claim 34 is rejected based on the same rejection for claim 1 and 16 above. In addition

 Jagannathan teaches detecting an indication to migrate the mobile agent thread; and
 in response to the indication, stopping execution of the mobile agent thread; and
 transferring the information about the mobile agent thread to a second computing host
 (col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11; col. 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).

Art Unit: 2195

36. As per claim 38, Jagannathan teaches a further comprising:

receiving the stack trace and state information about the mobile agent thread at the third computing host(col. 18 lines 39-51); and

reconstructing the mobile agent thread at the third computing host using the stack and state information about the mobile agent thread and continuing processing of the mobile agent thread at the third computing host at a point at which execution of the thread was stopped at the first computing host (col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19).

- 37. As per claim 39, Jagannathan teaches a wherein the step of transferring the stack and state information about the mobile agent thread farther comprises serializing the information about the mobile agent thread (col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19; col. 1 lines 9-15; col. 6 lines 33-45; col. 9 lines 1-11).
- 38. Claim 40 is rejected based on the same rejection for claim 1 above. In addition

 Jagannathan teaches agent collaboration environment, which comprises:

 a plurality of mobile agents (col. 5 lines 57-67).

 providing a virtual workspace for the mobile agents (col. 18 lines 39-51), and

 a registration subsystem for selectively assigning the plurality of mobile agents

 wherein each of the plurality of agents can share data, information(col. 9 lines 1-11; col.

 17 lines 1-14; col. 24 lines 22-64).

Art Unit: 2195

39. As per claim 41, Jagannathan teaches an agent collaboration environment wherein the conference room monitors and moderates communication between the plurality of mobile agents (col. 18 lines 39-51; col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67).

- 40. Claim 42 is rejected based on the same rejected as claims 1 and 11 above.
- 41. Claim 43 is rejected based on the same rejected as claim 15 above.
- 42. Claim 44 is rejected based on the same rejected as claim 30 above.
- 43. Claim 45 is rejected based on the same rejected as claim 34 above.
- 44. Claim 46 is rejected based on the same rejected as claim 1 above.
- 45. Claims 47 and 48 are rejected based on the same rejected as claim 15 above.
- 46. Claims 49 and 50 are rejected based on the same rejected as claims 5 and 7 above.
- 47. As per claim 51, Jagannathan teaches an apparatus further comprising firewalls at the-said first and second organizations to allow execution code for said mobile agents to be exchanged between said first and second organizations (col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19).
- 48. As per claim 52, Jagannathan teaches method wherein the steps are carried out without the need to explicitly write add additional software code to either initiates manage, or facilitate the transfer of a mobile agent during said process of thread migration (col. 2 lines 59-67; col. 5 lines 57-67; col. 6 lines 1-19).

Art Unit: 2195

Conclusion

49. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nilesh Shah whose telephone number is (571)272-3771.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Nilesh Shah Examiner

Art Unit 2195

NS

October 28, 2005

CRECEDIAN I. ANI

*